Body Composition in Coronary Heart Disease: How Does Body Mass Index Correlate With Body Fatness?
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ABSTRACT
Background: Despite its many known shortcomings, body mass index (BMI) is the most widely used measure of obesity, in part because of its practicality. Other more physiologic measurements of obesity have been proposed, including percent body fat (BF). Few studies have compared BMI and BF, especially in patients with coronary heart disease (CHD).

Methods: We studied 581 patients with CHD following major CHD events. We divided patients into low (<25% in men and <35% in women) and high BF (≥25% in men and ≥35% in women) as determined by the sum of the skin-fold method and compared these findings with standard BMI determinations.

Results: BMI and BF were highly correlated (r = 0.60; P < .001) and classified patients in the same category (obese vs nonobese) in 68% of cases. The agreement was optimal in the underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²) and obese class II category (BMI 35-39.9 kg/m²), in which 100% of patients were classified as nonobese and obese, respectively, by both BMI and BF categories. The performance was worst in patients with BMIs in the overweight or preobese range (25-29.9 kg/m²), in which 58% of patients would be classified as obese according to BF criteria.

Conclusions: Although some CHD patients are classified differently by BMI and BF, especially within the overweight BMI group, in general BMI and BF are highly correlated, especially in the underweight and obese BMI groups. Prospective studies are needed to determine which index of obesity best predicts risk in primary and secondary prevention.

INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), in 2005 approximately 1.6 billion adults were overweight and about 400 million were obese; the WHO projects that by 2015 2.3 billion adults will be overweight and more than 700 million will be obese. In the United States alone, it is estimated that nearly 68% of adults are overweight and 35% are obese. Overweight and obesity accounted for 9.1% of total US medical expenditures in 1998 and may have reached as high as $78.5 billion ($492.6 billion in 2002 dollars) with approximately half of this amount paid by Medicare.

Obesity has been defined in terms of body mass index (BMI) when associated with various comorbidities: hypertension, type 2 diabetes, coronary heart disease (CHD), stroke, gallbladder disease, and sleep apnea. On the other hand, WHO defines overweight and obesity in terms of fat: “abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that may impair health.” Although BMI is the most frequently used method to assess body composition, some experts have considered the percent body fat (BF) more appropriate in defining obesity, and BF > 25% in men or > 35% in women has been recommended for defining obesity in a major endocrinology society’s guideline statement.

Several studies have examined the relationship between BMI and body composition; Wellens et al found that BMI correctly identified about 44% of obese men and 52% of obese women when obesity was determined from BF (using densitometry) in a population of 504 men and 511 women ages 20-45 years. Frankenfield et al used bioelectrical impedance and found that all individuals determined...
to be obese by BMI were, in fact, obese by BF; however, 36% of men and 46% of women with BMI below 30 kg/m$^2$ had obesity levels using BF, suggesting that BMI may not be an adequate assessment of body fatness.

In the present study, we examine the concordance of BMI and BF in classifying overweightness/obesity in a population with stable CHD. In addition, we examine the correlation between BMI and BF, as well as the factors that influence this correlation.

### METHODS

**Patients**

We retrospectively reviewed records of 581 patients who attended our cardiac rehabilitation and exercise training program following major CHD events. We gathered demographic, lipid, and clinical data as previously described. We divided patients into low BF or nonobese (≤25% men and ≤35% women) and high BF or obese (>25% in men and >35% in women) as described above. At the same time, we divided the population into 6 groups based on the WHO BMI thresholds. The goal was to examine the BF-BMI correlation and compare how the patients would be classified differently according to BMI and BF. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Ochsner Clinic Foundation, New Orleans, LA.

### RESULTS

**Baseline Characteristics**

We found 581 patients with both BMI and percent BF measured. Table 1 summarizes baseline characteristics of this study population. The group was 27% females and had a mean age of 64 ± 11 years. A history of hypertension was present in 34%, 24% had diabetes, and only 1.3% admitted to being a current smoker.

### Statistical Analysis

SAS 9.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for the statistical analysis. Results are mean ± standard deviation or frequencies expressed as percentages. Pearson’s correlation was used to determine correlation, and a scatterplot was generated with 95% confidence ellipse.

### Correlations

Overall, we found a strong statistically significant correlation (r = 0.60; P < .001) between BMI and percent BF (Figure). The correlations in the subgroups were statistically significant (P < .0001) in older and younger patients, in both the obese and nonobese, and across both sexes (Table 2).
Classifications

Overall, both classifications labeled subjects similarly in 68% of cases, 223 patients in the low BF group and 174 patients in the high BF group (Table 3). Using percent BF, 244 (42%) subjects were labeled as nonobese, 21 (9%) of whom were labeled as obese using BMI criteria. The other 337 (58%) subjects were labeled as obese according to BF, but 163 (48%) of these did not meet criteria for obesity according to BMI. The vast majority of the differently classified subjects—the 137 overweight patients (74%)—had a BMI of 25-30 kg/m². In both the low BF and the high BF groups, 182 (99%) of misclassified subjects had BMI of 18.5-35 kg/m². Two subjects had BMI ≥ 40 kg/m² but were classified as nonobese by BF. BMI classified 195 (34%) subjects as obese, while BF classified 337 (58%) subjects as obese.

DISCUSSION

Correlation of BF and BMI

The correlation of BF and BMI seems to be dependent on multiple factors, including race, sex, age, and BMI itself. In the Heritage Family Study, Jackson et al. found that for similar BMIs, percent BF could vary as much as 10% between the sexes. Gallagher et al. observed statistically significant age differences in all the studied groups. Movsesyan et al. also saw this result in a study of Danish women.

The variations in the relationship between BMI and percent BF in different ethnic populations has led researchers to examine many different ethnic subgroups. Some propose lower BMI cutoffs for Asian subgroups. The Heritage Family Study showed that the correlation between BMI and percent BF is particularly strong for women. These findings were also apparent in our study of patients with stable CHD (Table 3), although we did not have information regarding race.

BMI or BF in Defining Obesity

The BMI-based definition of obesity classified fewer people as obese than the percent BF method, raising the question whether BMI or BF should be the gold standard for assessing body composition. Some ethnic populations have low BMIs and high BF and have a higher CHD prevalence than their low BF counterparts. Stroke has been associated with percent BF rather than BMI. Similarly, adiposity is associated with gallstone formation.

Although we used a relatively simple and inexpensive assessment of BF (the sum of the skin-fold method), this method may not be as accurate as more sophisticated options. There is not a single, universally accepted method for assessing body composition. Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) has become one of the most widely used methods for estimating BF percentage because of its rapid, relatively easy operation and accuracy. Air displacement plethysmography, bioelectrical

Table 2. Pearson Correlations for Population by Age (cutoff of 65) and Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI-BF correlation</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 65</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>0.723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt; .0001</td>
<td>&lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 65</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt; .0001</td>
<td>&lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; BF, body fat.

Table 3. Agreement Between Established Categories of BMI and Percent BF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>High % BF (obese)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Underweight (&lt; 18.5 kg/m²)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6 (100.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>116 (81.7)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18.3 (57.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>101 (42.4)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>57.6 (85.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (30-34.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>19 (14.2)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>85.8 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (35-39.9 kg/m²)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>100.0 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (≥ 40 kg/m²)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2 (8.3)</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>58 (91.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; BF, body fat; WHO, World Health Organization.

* Based on the following body fat categories: obese (> 25% in men and > 35% in women) and nonobese (≤ 25% men and ≤ 35% women).
impedance, and hydrostatic weighing are other commonly used techniques. All of these methods, however, require significant financial and time investments, and none can easily be performed in the typical outpatient setting. These drawbacks explain why large epidemiologic studies still use BMI.

Different equations have been proposed to predict BF based on BMI in different populations—trying to account for age, sex, and ethnicity—with varying success. Such equations demonstrated the above-mentioned dependence of BMI and BF on multiple factors, especially age and sex, and they represent a relatively useful tool in classifying individuals as overweight and obese. Our study in CHD patients demonstrates that BMI and BF classifications are generally well correlated, especially with the extremes of BMI, although significant variability exists, especially in the middle BMI ranges.

Limitations

This study had multiple limitations. First, the study group was based on eligibility for cardiac rehabilitation and thus may not be representative. Second, our population had relatively high BMI and BF. Third, we measured BF using the skin-fold method, which is not considered to be the most accurate technique to determine BF. Last, our population mainly included older patients (mean age 64 ± 11 years), a group for which BMI and BF overlap to a lesser extent.

CONCLUSIONS

Although BMI and BF correlate closely, their relationship depends on age, sex, ethnicity, and the exact level of BMI. BMI and BF often differ in classifying subjects as obese, with BMI tending to less often identify people as obese than the WHO percent BF classification. Further studies are needed to determine what body composition measurement best predicts risk in primary and secondary prevention of CHD.
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