# Exercise in the Management of Chronic Back Pain

Thomas E. Dreisinger, PhD, FACSM

Pure HealthyBack, Orlando, FL, and Therapy Advisors, Tucson, AZ

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Chronic back pain is one of the most common and expensive medical conditions facing today's population. Its costs are estimated to be as much as \$100 billion in the United States alone. Causation is poorly understood and healthcare providers share little common language concerning this pain. In addition, costly medical diagnostic tests are performed that do little to inform treatment. In the era of evidence-based medicine, back pain healthcare providers must find better ways to communicate with one another.

**Methods:** The key to better communication is measurement within the context of an evidence-based, protocol-driven clinical rehabilitation model. Measurement is the key to better communication among providers treating spinal pain. Measurement means acquiring both patient-reported outcomes (PROs) and clinician-based outcomes (CBOs).

**Results:** Musculoskeletal strengthening of the lumbar and cervical extensors has been shown to significantly reduce pain and provide successful clinical results for patients suffering from chronic back and neck pain. Lumbar strengthening has been successful because it is a safe exercise, it is prescribed based on pretreatment evaluation, and it provides objective measurements.

**Conclusion:** Without measurement, clinical results rely more on opinion than on objectively prescribed courses of treatment. Although indirect measures (PROs) are typically presented in clinical papers and clinical reviews, they are not often used in normal physical therapy practices. Adding direct patientperformance measures (CBOs) creates a much clearer clinical picture. The key to understanding the value of clinical practice

Address correspondence to Thomas E. Dreisinger, PhD, FACSM Pure HealthyBack 10612 N. Laughing Coyote Way Oro Valley, AZ 85737 Phone: (248) 835-5697 Email: ted@purehealthyback.com

Keywords: Back muscles, exercise therapy, muscle strength, outcome assessment (health care), resistance training

The author has no financial or proprietary interest in the subject matter of this article.

and its predictable impact on patient treatment is objective measurement.

## PREAMBLE: SETTING THE STAGE

The United States healthcare system has found itself in the unenviable position of being on a pathway to bankruptcy. The US gross domestic product (GDP) is \$15.6 trillion, with healthcare accounting for nearly \$3 trillion of that figure,<sup>1,2</sup> and healthcare costs are rampantly rising. Much of the practice of musculoskeletal medicine is far from evidence-based, and costs for the management of back pain in the US also continue to escalate, by as much as \$100 billion.<sup>3</sup> In addition, the direct costs and the indirect expenditures of absenteeism, as well as presenteeism (lost productivity while still on the job), are estimated to be even greater.<sup>4</sup> The effects of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act are still somewhat uncertain, with one clear exception. Practices will be required to provide objective, evidence-based treatments with measurable outcomes.<sup>5</sup> The National Institutes of Health (NIH) has been in the process of completing and implementing its Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS)<sup>6</sup> that was created to make the acquisition of patientreported outcomes as painless and cost effective as possible. The operative words here are "as painless and cost effective as possible," because any clinical monitoring system faces incorporation challenges. However, clinical monitoring is important because clinicians need to ask legitimate questions, such as "How much physical therapy and chiropractic treatment is placebo?" Although language like this tends to cause offense to certain patient or clinical populations, the question is meaningful. Rational treatment must have a conceptual framework, standardized assessment/treatment, and a common therapeutic protocol.

Not all back pain is the same. Some back pain identified as a red flag—should *not* be treated with exercise and requires a much more careful medical workup. Red flag pain may present as unrelenting constant pain that gets worse when the patient lies down, interferes with sleep, and/or accompanies an unanticipated weight loss. Origins of the pain might include a tumor, cauda equina syndrome, infection, or spinal fracture. Although red flag pains require serious consideration, only 1%-4% of patients presenting with back pain have red flag conditions.<sup>7</sup> Patients with red flag pain and those who might present with complicated and/or restrictive comorbidities in addition to back pain are beyond the scope of this paper.

## INTRODUCTION

Exercise for health and disease management is not a new idea. Claudius Galen's (129-210 AD) influence regarding what has been called the "six things non-natural" (clean air, healthy food, appropriate sleep, good working bowels, balanced passions, and proper exercise)<sup>8</sup> informed physicians well into the 19<sup>th</sup> century to include exercise as an important part of their medical practices.<sup>9</sup> Not until the late 1800s, when western medicine and healthcare became more focused on "sick care and disease," did the emphasis on health slip into the background of medical practices.<sup>10</sup>

In the 1960s, the American population changed its focus to overall well-being. Heart disease was a public epidemic, smoking and excessive alcohol consumption were seen as deterrents to good health, and people began, once again, to take an interest in their own health. Although the focus on health seemed to be a new phenomenon, US society had only taken a break from a historical tradition of recognizing physical activity as an important aspect of good health.<sup>11</sup> Exercise received much more emphasis as healthcare began to find a balance within the monolith of "disease management and rest" that had dominated medicine in the United States for more than 80 years.<sup>11</sup>

Today, exercise is recognized for its importance to healthy lifestyles, longevity, quality of life, mental health, and the management of many chronic diseases, including low back pain.<sup>12-16</sup> Exercise is the only meaningful way to increase functional capacity.<sup>17</sup> Questions about exercise relate to its place (ie, alone or in combination with cognitive or biopsychosocial strategies) rather than its value.<sup>18-20</sup>

Systematic reviews for the management of acute, subacute, and chronic back pain have provided recommendations for more, rather than less, activity in recovery.<sup>21,22</sup> Thirteen countries and 2 international groups, in addition to subgroups within professional societies, insurance companies, and other stakeholder agencies, have published clinical guidelines for the management of low back pain.<sup>22</sup>

For the acute back pain patient, early activation towards normality that includes exercise should begin in the primary care setting. Unfortunately, a large percentage of primary care physicians do not recommend exercise to their back pain patients,<sup>23,24</sup> and the reasons why, other than lack of time, are somewhat unclear. Some general practitioners cite patient interests overriding their clinical judgment, even when practitioners know the importance of recommending increased activity.<sup>25</sup> This patient-practitioner conflict suggests a need for better methods of communicating the value of being active. Skillful practitioners are able to discover the patient's own motivations and align them with appropriately active treatment goals.<sup>26</sup>

Good evidence supports the use of exercisedirected therapy for patients with chronic back pain generally within the context of a rehabilitation setting.<sup>21,27,28</sup> When compared with other therapeutic approaches, exercise demonstrates positive results by itself and in combination, for example, with cognitive interventions. Aggressive exercise is also cost effective in reducing disability.<sup>29,30</sup>

## WHAT TO TREAT

Pain may be the reason people seek medical care, but function rather than pain is treated in restorative physical therapy. Insurance companies in the United States do not pay for pain reduction—they pay for increases in function<sup>31</sup> because pain cannot be effectively measured and treatment options are limited to medication, cognitive intervention, or surgery. Pain reduction may occur as the result of physical therapy, but functional improvement is the goal both for the insurer and the clinician.

Function is objectively measurable and can be deliberately increased through treatment. Studies have demonstrated the positive effects of aggressive exercise.<sup>29,30</sup> Exercise has also favorably compared with surgical intervention<sup>32-34</sup> and in some cases eliminated the necessity for surgery.<sup>35</sup> These findings indicate that clinical therapy should include appropriately aggressive exercise. Exercise is the centerpiece of treatment for the management of all complex pain syndromes, including spinal pain.<sup>36</sup>

## TREATMENT

A rational clinical treatment program should contain 4 elements: (1) a pragmatic framework, (2) a standardized assessment and treatment methodology, (3) a common therapeutic protocol, and (4) a costeffective model. Objectively measured therapeutic exercise lends itself to this kind of structured treatment program.

In a pragmatic framework, exercise should follow the principle of progressive overload (increasing intensity, frequency, or duration of movement to exceed normal activity levels). The exercise-science literature contains numerous evidence-based protocols under the construct of progressive overload that apply well to the clinical treatment for increasing spinal function.<sup>12,37</sup>

Standardized assessment and treatment methodologies are critical if functional improvement is to be measured in a meaningful way. Standardized tests are available and should be applied for scientific inquiry and for clear communication among clinical colleagues. The American College of Sports Medicine publishes such testing and prescription methodologies.<sup>12,37,38</sup> Alternately, if objective measurement is simply for internal clinical use (eg, patient motivation or clinician communication), any number of fitness testing resources (ie, for flexibility, endurance, and strength) are available.<sup>39,40</sup>

Within physical therapy practices with more than one clinician, each clinician often assesses and treats patients differently. A common therapeutic protocol helps provide a foundation of treatment, enhancing a broader clinical understanding of patient care. Agreement among clinicians, at the very least within a practice, to common therapeutic protocols can be very helpful.

Cost effectiveness impacts society by decreasing overuse of the healthcare system and medical procedures, as well as reducing the overall economic burden of medical care. Using a framework for creating efficiencies in the treatment of spinal care can have an impact on larger social issues. Social cost factors are particularly important in the United States where two-thirds of spinal costs are due to decreased wages and productivity and healthcare is rapidly approaching 18% of the GDP.<sup>41</sup>

#### THE IMPORTANCE OF MEASUREMENT

Measurement as a means of clarifying understanding is a time-honored practice. Plato suggested to Euthyphro, "Suppose that we differ about magnitudes, do we not quickly end the differences by measuring? ... And we end a controversy about heavy and light by resorting to a weighing machine?"<sup>42</sup>

Mathematician and physicist William Thomson (Lord Kelvin) expressed it more bluntly, "When you can measure what you are speaking about, and express it in numbers, you know something about it; but when you cannot measure it, your knowledge is of a meagre and unsatisfactory kind."<sup>43</sup>

Objective measurement should be used for multiple reasons. Normative data are highly valuable, leading to better clinical understanding and to further research. A second and often overlooked reason is patient motivation. Humans are interested in where they fit in to whatever category they find of interest. Studies in the area of exercise compliance focus on this human aspect.<sup>44-46</sup> Actuarial health tables provide data related to height, weight, body mass index,

cholesterol, blood sugar, and physical performance. Patients want to know how they are faring in rehabilitation. When they can be shown increases in endurance, strength, range of motion, or flexibility over their baseline, they feel a sense of accomplishment—helpful for keeping patients motivated through their course of treatment. Measurement can be used both to encourage patients and to demonstrate they have plateaued and are no longer candidates for treatment. Measured exercise provides a broader and more coherent clinical narrative from which therapeutic management may be refined.

#### **CLINICAL OUTCOMES**

The majority of measures reflecting changes in back pain are patient reported in the following general categories: symptoms, function, and quality of life. The use of patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in clinical trials reflects the attention given to patients' evaluation of their healthcare.

In the late 1980s and early 1990s, few of these measures were available. Examples include analog pain scales, the Oswestry Disability Index (measure of function), and the Short-Form Quality of Life (SF-36) survey. The difficulty in quantifying nondichotomous data in spinal care has led to a proliferation of outcome measurement tools that, as Chapman reported, "suggest a high degree of sophistication ... or a general helplessness in the face of over-whelming odds" and an "incomplete resolution of the attempts at quantifying many aspects of 'health-related quality of life."<sup>47</sup> The difficulty in agreeing on a common measurement criterion has created, in the clinical setting, more opinion than fact.

Clinician-based outcomes (CBOs) are another kind of data. CBO assessments reflect objective physiologic or biomechanical changes such as endurance, muscle strength, range of motion, and measured activities of daily living (eg, functional capacity testing). They differ from PROs because they do *not* involve patient perceptions; rather, they measure performance. CBO assessments provide objective evidence of restoration of function required by third-party payers, in addition to timely feedback to the patient.

Unfortunately, most clinicians do not use outcomes in their practices. Lee indicated that fewer than 10% of all healthcare practices in the United States collect any kind of outcomes data—either PRO or CBO.<sup>48</sup>

### WHAT KIND OF EXERCISE?

According to the US Bureau of Labor Statistics, only an estimated 13%-20% of people over the age of 15 participate in regular lifestyle physical activity, with variations based on regional habitat.<sup>49</sup> Chronic back

pain patients in general are less physically active than the majority of the population, meaning most chronic back pain patients come to therapy with very low physical capacities from a lifestyle that is inhibited by the nature of their pain. The goal of therapeutic intervention is to return patients to the normal activities of daily living—sitting, rising, bending, twisting, lifting, walking, and climbing-by enhancing strength, flexibility, endurance, and balance. Only resistance (strength) training has been shown to result in increases in all 4 of these at the same time.50-53 Resistance training is safe, efficient, and easy to quantify, and it has been shown to reduce kinesiophobia,<sup>20</sup> depression,<sup>54</sup> vertebral fractures,<sup>55</sup> and recidivism rates.<sup>56</sup> Resistance training also increases the integrity of connective tissue within the muscles, joint cartilage, tendons, and ligaments and enhances bone mineral content.<sup>57</sup> Because of its multifactorial contribution to overall health in the chronic spinal patient, generalized resistance strengthening should be part of any rehabilitation program focused on functional restoration.

## STRENGTH TRAINING

Progressive overload has been called the mother principle of exercise training. The 3 elements of prescribed exercise are the activity's frequency (how often), intensity (how hard), and duration (how long). Under the progressive overload principle, these 3 elements are manipulated to gradually increase the amount of work performed until maximal exercise potential is reached. Regardless of therapeutic activity, the principle of progressive overload governs the ability to increase one's capacity to perform daily living activities. Any exercise overload will cause functional capacity to increase, but adjusting intensity provides the biggest gains in performance capability. Applying greater resistance through progressive sessions results in increased lean muscle mass, decreased body fat, and increased work capacity (function).58

If baseline measurements are recorded before patients begin a program of progressive exercise, patients readily see improvements during their clinical restoration program, as well as their overall functional improvement when they complete the program.

Exercise machines are the optimal way to determine the effects of progressive resistance exercises. They permit measurement of effort, both visually and physiologically. Exercise machines are also safe in that they limit free axial movement, thereby reducing the potential for injury. Free weights are less expensive and also effective but their use must be more carefully supervised. Strengthening exercise should be performed slowly to minimize the possibility of injury from the acceleration forces of rapid lifting. In addition, the weight lifted should provide enough resistance to cause optimal adaptive changes in muscle. Although therapeutic programs emphasize patient performance of functional activities, muscles cannot be meaningfully strengthened through dynamic functional movements. For example, if a tennis player used a heavily weighted racquet to increase his or her strength of service, the momentum of the swing alone would put the joint, at the axis of rotation, in danger. The intensity of resistance needed to meaningfully strengthen the arm using a weighted racquet would risk significant injury because of acceleration/ deceleration forces and directional changes in movement of the racquet. For safety reasons, a much less intense functional exercise load (ie, a normally weighted tennis racquet) would need to be used. Functional movement with the tennis racquet is helpful for proprioceptive movement patterns but not for optimal strengthening.

Motivational issues also detract from gains in function when patients are not given specific, measurable goals. Therapy that is time based or centered on unmeasured exercise provides little feedback to the patient. Without specific strategic goals (prescribed exercise based on quantitative assessment), most patients require cheerleading or they will perform exercise at the lowest level of their capacity. In home exercise programs, for example, without time and distance guidelines, patients will engage in progressively less intense exercise.<sup>59</sup> A parallel example is that of motivating students to learn. Instructors use any number of strategies to encourage successful learning, but without goal-oriented strategies and feedback, most students will not do well.<sup>60</sup> Similarly, without a program of measured activity and demonstrated progress, patients find little to motivate them to perform persistent exercise.

## SPINAL STRENGTHENING

Although the clinical literature reports of outcomes of core stabilization exercises have been equivocal, 61-64 lumbar extensor strengthening has been shown to be an effective (as good as or better than standard physical therapy) therapeutic tool.<sup>65,66</sup> Lumbar extensor strengthening is more valuable than the standard of care because it provides measurable physiologic adaptation to the therapy. Indeed, a progressive resistance spinal strengthening exercise program has been instituted within the Ochsner Health System (TE Dreisinger, D Feurtado, J Karazim, unpublished data, 2013). The program's preliminary outcomes have demonstrated significant patient gains in measured strength, range of motion, and patient-reported function, as well as decreases in disability scores for both lumbar and cervical patients.

Both computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans have revealed that lumbar extensors (and in particular the multifidus) show greater atrophic changes with more severe back pain.<sup>67</sup> Dickx et al, using a modified Roman chair, induced pain in healthy backs by unilateral injection of hypertonic solution that led to bilateral hypoactivity in both erector spinae and multifidi.<sup>68</sup> Parkkola et al showed that patients with chronic low back pain (CLBP) have greater fatty infiltration and less muscle mass in their lumbar extensors.<sup>69</sup> This muscle composition is relevant because multifidus fibers show a natural degree of atrophy in individuals with no history of back pain, as well as in patients with CLBP,<sup>70</sup> suggesting that the lumbar extensors and the multifidi may be more atrophic in CLBP patients. MacDonald et al demonstrated that unilateral atrophy of the multifidi, occurring in the once-symptomatic side, persists even when no longer symptomatic.<sup>71</sup> In two studies, Hides et al observed patients with first-time onset of unilateral back pain and found rapid atrophy of the multifidus on the symptomatic side.<sup>72,73</sup> The atrophy persisted even after spontaneous resolution of symptoms in those patients who did not exercise following recovery from pain.

Rissanen took muscle biopsies before and after aggressive back extensor strengthening in patients with a history of chronic back pain.<sup>74</sup> He demonstrated increased diameter of type 2 multifidus fibers and significant increases in strength.<sup>74</sup> The preexercise and postexercise biopsies demonstrated morphologic adaptation of the multifidi to strengthening in these individuals. This study verified observations made by Mooney et al regarding the importance of aggressive resistance training of the lumbar extensor muscles in patients with CLBP.75 Their data showed changes in the myoelectric activity of the lumbar extensors as strength and range of motion increased. In addition, Leggett et al, in a multicenter study, showed strengthening the lumbar extensors led to a reduction in reuse of the healthcare system by 87% after 1 year.<sup>56</sup> Their data also demonstrated increases in quality of life and reduction in perceived pain.

#### CONCLUSION

Exercise is an important strategy in the management of back pain regardless of whether the pain is acute or chronic. Among the various exercise strategies used, resistance (strength) training is the most efficient. However, without an objective baseline measure leading to prescription of the appropriate dose of exercise (ie, intensity, duration, and repetition of activity), patient improvement is completely subjective. Without measurement, clinical results rely more on opinion than on objectively prescribed courses of treatment. Although indirect measures (PROs) are typically present in clinical papers and clinical reviews, they are not often used in normal physical therapy practices. Adding direct patient-performance measures (CBOs) creates a much clearer clinical picture. The key to understanding the value of clinical practice and its predictable impact on patient treatment is objective measurement.

#### REFERENCES

- Moses H 3<sup>rd</sup>, Matheson DH, Dorsey ER, George BP, Sadoff D, Yoshimura S. The anatomy of health care in the United States. *JAMA*. 2013 Nov 13;310(18):1947-1963.
- World Bank. Health expenditure, total (% of GDP). 2012. http:// data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.XPD.TOTL.ZS. Accessed January 13, 2014.
- Katz JN. Lumbar disc disorders and low-back pain: socioeconomic factors and consequences. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006 Apr;88 Suppl 2:21-24.
- Letvak SA, Ruhm CJ, Gupta SN. Nurses' presenteeism and its effects on self-reported quality of care and costs. *Am J Nurs*. 2012 Feb;112(2):30-38; quiz 48, 39.
- Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. 2010. http://www. gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/BILLS-111hr3590enr/pdf/ BILLS-111hr3590enr.pdf. Accessed January 13, 2014.
- Patient Reported Measurement Information System. 2013. http:// www.nihpromis.org/about/abouthome. Accessed January 13, 2014.
- Downie A, Williams CM, Henschke N, et al. Red flags to screen for malignancy and fracture in patients with low back pain: systematic review. *BMJ*. 2013 Dec 11;347:f7095.
- 8. Tipton CM, ed. *Exercise Physiology: People and Ideas*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press; 2003.
- 9. Berryman JW. The tradition of the "six things non-natural": exercise and medicine from Hippocrates through ante-bellum America. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 1989;17:515-559.
- Berryman JW. Exercise is medicine: a historical perspective. *Curr* Sports Med Rep. 2010 Jul-Aug;9(4):195-201.
- 11. Kimble C. Health in America. Wilson Q. 1980 Spring:60-101.
- American College of Sports Medicine Position Stand. The recommended quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory and muscular fitness, and flexibility in healthy adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1998 Jun; 30(6):975-991.
- Hurley B, Reuter I. Aging, physical activity, and disease prevention. J Aging Res. 2011;2011:782546. Epub 2011 Jun 19.
- Hurley BF, Hanson ED, Sheaff AK. Strength training as a countermeasure to aging muscle and chronic disease. *Sports Med.* 2011 Apr 1;41(4):289-306.
- United States Dept. of Health and Human Services. 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines For Americans: Be Active, Healthy, and Happy! Washington, DC: US Dept. of Health and Human Services; 2008.
- Graves JE, Mayer J, Dreisinger T, Mooney V. Resistance training for low back pain and dysfunction. In: Graves JE, Franklin BA, eds. *Resistance Training for Health and Rehabilitation*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2001:356-383.
- 17. Bortz WM 2<sup>nd</sup>. Redefining human aging. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 1989 Nov;37(11):1092-1096.

- Smeets RJ, Maher CG, Nicholas MK, Refshauge KM, Herbert RD. Do psychological characteristics predict response to exercise and advice for subacute low back pain? *Arthritis Rheum*. 2009 Sep 15;61(9):1202-1209.
- Smeets RJ, van Geel KD, Verbunt JA. Is the fear avoidance model associated with the reduced level of aerobic fitness in patients with chronic low back pain? *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2009 Jan; 90(1):109-117.
- Kernan T, Rainville J. Observed outcomes associated with a quota-based exercise approach on measures of kinesiophobia in patients with chronic low back pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2007 Nov;37(11):679-687.
- Hayden JA, van Tulder MW, Tomlinson G. Systematic review: strategies for using exercise therapy to improve outcomes in chronic low back pain. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005 May 3;142(9):776-785.
- Koes BW, van Tulder M, Lin CW, Macedo LG, McAuley J, Maher C. An updated overview of clinical guidelines for the management of non-specific low back pain in primary care. *Eur Spine J*. 2010 Dec;19(12):2075-2094. Epub 2010 Jul 3.
- Little P, Smith L, Cantrell T, Chapman J, Langridge J, Pickering R. General practitioners' management of acute back pain: a survey of reported practice compared with clinical guidelines. *BMJ*. 1996 Feb 24;312(7029):485-488.
- Finestone AS, Raveh A, Mirovsky Y, Lahad A, Milgrom C. Orthopaedists' and family practitioners' knowledge of simple low back pain management. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009 Jul 1; 34(15):1600-1603.
- Schers H, Wensing M, Huijsmans Z, van Tulder M, Grol R. Implementation barriers for general practice guidelines on low back pain a qualitative study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2001 Aug 1; 26(15):E348-E353.
- Rollnick S, Miller WR, Butler C. *Motivational Interviewing in Health Care: Helping Patients Change Behavior*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2008.
- Rainville J, Jouve CA, Hartigan C, Martinez E, Hipona M. Comparison of short- and long-term outcomes for aggressive spine rehabilitation delivered two versus three times per week. *Spine J.* 2002 Nov-Dec;2(6):402-407.
- 28. Hartigan C, Rainville J, Sobel JB, Hipona M. Long-term exercise adherence after intensive rehabilitation for chronic low back pain. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000 Mar;32(3):551-557.
- Smeets RJ, Vlaeyen JW, Hidding A, et al. Active rehabilitation for chronic low back pain: cognitive-behavioral, physical, or both? First direct post-treatment results from a randomized controlled trial [ISRCTN22714229]. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2006 Jan 20;7:5.
- Smeets RJ, Severens JL, Beelen S, Vlaeyen JW, Knottnerus JA. More is not always better: cost-effectiveness analysis of combined, single behavioral and single physical rehabilitation programs for chronic low back pain. *Eur J Pain*. 2009 Jan;13(1): 71-81. Epub 2008 Apr 22.
- Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System and Current Procedural Terminology. 2014. http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/ Billing/TherapyServices/AnnualTherapyUpdate.html. Accessed January 9, 2014.
- Brox JI, Srensen R, Friis A, et al. Randomized clinical trial of lumbar instrumented fusion and cognitive intervention and exercises in patients with chronic low back pain and disc degeneration. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2003 Sep 1;28(17): 1913-1921.

- Brox JI, Nygaard ØP, Holm I, Keller A, Ingebrigtsen T, Reikerås O. Four-year follow-up of surgical versus non-surgical therapy for chronic low back pain. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2010 Sep;69(9):1643-1648. Epub 2009 Jul 26.
- 34. Fairbank J, Frost H, Wilson-MacDonald J, Yu LM, Barker K, Collins R; Spine Stabilisation Trial Group. Randomised controlled trial to compare surgical stabilisation of the lumbar spine with an intensive rehabilitation programme for patients with chronic low back pain: the MRC spine stabilisation trial. *BMJ*. 2005 May 28; 330(7502):1233. Epub 2005 May 23. Erratum in: *BMJ*. 2005 Jun 25;330(7506):1485.
- Nelson BW, Carpenter DM, Dreisinger TE, Mitchell M, Kelly CE, Wegner JA. Can spinal surgery be prevented by aggressive strengthening exercises? A prospective study of cervical and lumbar patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 1999 Jan;80(1):20-25.
- Harden RN, Swan M, King A, Costa B, Barthel J. Treatment of complex regional pain syndrome: functional restoration. *Clin J Pain*. 2006 Jun;22(5):420-424.
- Thompson WR, Gordon NF, Pescatello LS, eds. *ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription*. 8<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2010.
- American College of Sports Medicine, Chodzko-Zajko WJ, Proctor DN, Fiatarone Singh MA, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Exercise and physical activity for older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009 Jul;41(7):1510-1530.
- 39. Hoffman J. *Norms for Fitness, Performance, and Health.* Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2006.
- 40. Miller T, ed. *NSCA's Guide to Tests and Assessments*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2012.
- 41. Freburger JK, Holmes GM, Agans RP, et al. The rising prevalence of chronic low back pain. *Arch Intern Med.* 2009 Feb 9;169(3): 251-258.
- 42. Plato. *Essential Dialogues of Plato*. New York, NY: Barnes & Noble Classics; 2005.
- Kelvin WT. Electrical Units of Measurements. In: Kelvin WT. *Popular Lectures and Addresses*. London, England: Macmillan; 1899:73.
- Brown SA. Measuring perceived benefits and perceived barriers for physical activity. *Am J Health Behav*. 2005 Mar-Apr;29(2): 107-116.
- 45. Schoenborn CA, Stommel M. Adherence to the 2008 adult physical activity guidelines and mortality risk. *Am J Prev Med*. 2011 May;40(5):514-521.
- Chao D, Foy CG, Farmer D. Exercise adherence among older adults: challenges and strategies. *Control Clin Trials*. 2000 Oct; 21(5 Suppl):212S-217S.
- 47. Chapman JR. *Spine Outcomes Measures & Instruments*. New York, NY: Thieme; 2007.
- Lee T. Attacking the Chaos: How Hospitals and Doctors Can Reform Health Care's Biggest Problem. 2010; Webinar describing the issues in healthcare. http://blogs.hbr.org/events/ 2010/04/attacking-the-chaos-how-hospit.html. Accessed April 12, 2010.
- 49. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevalence of selfreported physically active adults—United States, 2007. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2008 Dec 5;57(48):1297-1300.
- 50. Graves JE, Franklin BD. *Resistance Training for Health and Rehabilitation*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2001.

- Yamamoto LM, Lopez RM, Klau JF, Casa DJ, Kraemer WJ, Maresh CM. The effects of resistance training on endurance distance running performance among highly trained runners: a systematic review. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2008 Nov;22(6): 2036-2044.
- Morton SK, Whitehead JR, Brinkert RH, Caine DJ. Resistance training vs. static stretching: effects on flexibility and strength. J Strength Cond Res. 2011 Dec;25(12):3391-3398.
- Hess JA, Woollacott M. Effect of high-intensity strengthtraining on functional measures of balance ability in balanceimpaired older adults. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2005 Oct; 28(8):582-590.
- 54. Risch SV, Norvell NK, Pollock ML, et al. Lumbar strengthening in chronic low back pain patients. Physiologic and psychological benefits. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1993 Feb;18(2):232-238.
- 55. Sinaki M, Itoi E, Wahner HW, et al. Stronger back muscles reduce the incidence of vertebral fractures: a prospective 10 year followup of postmenopausal women. *Bone*. 2002 Jun;30(6):836-841.
- Leggett S, Mooney V, Matheson LN, et al. Restorative exercise for clinical low back pain. A prospective two-center study with 1-year follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1999 May 1;24(9):889-898.
- Stock LL, Requa RK, Garrick JG. Resistance training and musculoskeletal injury. In: Graves JE, Franklin BA, eds. *Resistance Training for Health and Rehabilitation*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 2001:165-179.
- 58. Staley C. *Muscle Logic: Escalating Density Training*. Emmaus, PA: Rodale Books; 2005.
- Sniehotta FF, Scholz U, Schwarzer R. Action plans and coping plans for physical exercise: A longitudinal intervention study in cardiac rehabilitation. *BR J Health Psychol*. 2006 Feb;11(Pt 1):23-37.
- 60. Ames CT. Classrooms: goals, structures, and student motivation. *J Educ Psychol*. 1992;84(3):261-271.
- Koumantakis GA, Watson PJ, Oldham JA. Trunk muscle stabilization training plus general exercise versus general exercise only: randomized controlled trial of patients with recurrent low back pain. *Phys Ther.* 2005 Mar;85(3):209-225.
- 62. Rasmussen-Barr E, Nilsson-Wikmar L, Arvidsson I. Stabilizing training compared with manual treatment in sub-acute and chronic low-back pain. *Man Ther.* 2003 Nov;8(4):233-241.
- 63. Goldby LJ, Moore AP, Doust J, Trew ME. A randomized controlled trial investigating the efficiency of musculoskeletal physiotherapy on chronic low back disorder. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2006 May 1;31(10):1083-1093.
- 64. Stuge B, Veierd MB, Laerum E, Vllestad N. The efficacy of a treatment program focusing on specific stabilizing exercises for pelvic girdle pain after pregnancy: a two-year follow-up of a randomized clinical trial. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2004 May 15; 29(10):E197-E203.

- Helmhout PH, Harts CC, Staal JB, Candel MJ, de Bie RA. Comparison of a high-intensity and a low-intensity lumbar extensor training program as minimal intervention treatment in low back pain: a randomized trial. *Eur Spine J*. 2004 Oct;13(6): 537-547. Epub 2004 Apr 17.
- Helmhout PH, Harts CC, Viechtbauer W, Staal JB, de Bie RA. Isolated lumbar extensor strengthening versus regular physical therapy in an army working population with nonacute low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2008 Sep;89(9):1675-1685.
- Alaranta H, Tallroth K, Soukka A, Heliövaara M. Fat content of lumbar extensor muscles and low back disability: a radiographic and clinical comparison. *J Spinal Disord*. 1993 Apr;6(2):137-140.
- Dickx N, Cagnie B, Achten E, Vandemaele P, Parlevliet T, Danneels L. Changes in lumbar muscle activity because of induced muscle pain evaluated by muscle functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2008 Dec 15;33(26): E983-E989.
- Parkkola R, Rytökoski U, Kormano M. Magnetic resonance imaging of the discs and trunk muscles in patients with chronic low back pain and healthy control subjects. *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976). 1993 Jun 1;18(7):830-836.
- Mattila M, Hurme M, Alaranta H, et al. The multifidus muscle in patients with lumbar disc herniation. A histochemical and morphometric analysis of intraoperative biopsies. *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976). 1986 Sep;11(7):732-738.
- MacDonald D, Moseley GL, Hodges PW. Why do some patients keep hurting their back? Evidence of ongoing back muscle dysfunction during remission from recurrent back pain. *Pain*. 2009 Apr;142(3):183-188. Epub 2009 Jan 30.
- 72. Hides JA, Richardson CA, Jull GA. Multifidus muscle recovery is not automatic after resolution of acute, first-episode low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1996 Dec 1;21(23):2763-2769.
- Hides JA, Stokes MJ, Saide M, Jull GA, Cooper DH. Evidence of lumbar multifidus muscle wasting ipsilateral to symptoms in patients with acute/subacute low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa* 1976). 1994 Jan 15;19(2):165-172.
- 74. Rissanen A. Back Muscles and Intensive Rehabilitation of Patients With Chronic Low Back Pain: Effects on Back Muscle Structure and Function and Patient Disability [dissertation]. Jyväskylä, Finland: University of Jyväskylä; 2004.
- Mooney V, Gulick J, Perlman M, et al. Relationships between myoelectric activity, strength, and MRI of lumbar extensor muscles in back pain patients and normal subjects. *J Spinal Disord*. 1997 Aug;10(4):348-356.

This article meets the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education and the American Board of Medical Specialties Maintenance of Certification competencies for Patient Care, Medical Knowledge, and Practice-Based Learning and Improvement.