

# Management of Patients Admitted with Acute Decompensated Heart Failure

Selim R. Krim, MD,<sup>1,2</sup> Patrick T. Campbell, MD,<sup>1,2</sup> Sapna Desai, MD,<sup>1</sup> Stacy Mandras, MD,<sup>1</sup> Hamang Patel, MD,<sup>1</sup> Clement Eiswirth, MD,<sup>1</sup> Hector O. Ventura, MD<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Section of Cardiomyopathy and Heart Transplantation, John Ochsner Heart and Vascular Institute, Ochsner Clinic Foundation, New Orleans, LA <sup>2</sup>The University of Queensland School of Medicine, Ochsner Clinical School, New Orleans, LA

**Background:** Hospital admission for the treatment of acute decompensated heart failure is an unfortunate certainty in the vast majority of patients with heart failure. Regardless of the etiology, inpatient treatment for acute decompensated heart failure portends a worsening prognosis.

**Methods:** This review identifies patients with heart failure who need inpatient therapy and provides an overview of recommended therapies and management of these patients in the hospital setting.

**Results:** Inpatient therapy for patients with acute decompensated heart failure should be directed at decongestion and symptom improvement. Clinicians should also treat possible precipitating events, identify comorbid conditions that may exacerbate heart failure, evaluate and update current guideline-directed medical therapy, and perform risk stratification for all patients. Finally, efforts should be made to educate patients about the importance of restricting salt and fluid, monitoring daily weights, and adhering to a graded exercise program.

**Conclusion:** Early discharge follow-up and continued optimization of guideline-directed medical therapy are key to preventing future heart failure readmissions.

**Keywords:** Disease management, heart failure, inpatients

Address correspondence to Selim R. Krim, MD, Section of Cardiomyopathy and Heart Transplantation, John Ochsner Heart and Vascular Institute, Ochsner Clinic Foundation, 1514 Jefferson Hwy., New Orleans, LA 70121. Tel: (504) 842-4721. Email: selim.krim@ochsner.org

## INTRODUCTION

For the vast majority of patients with heart failure, hospital admission for the treatment of acute decompensated heart failure is an unfortunate certainty. Regardless of the etiology, inpatient treatment for acute decompensated heart failure portends a worsening prognosis. Following the seminal event, readmission rates are nearly 50% at 6 months, and 1-year mortality reaches 30%.<sup>1,2</sup> This report identifies patients with heart failure who need inpatient therapy and reviews recommended therapies and management of these patients in the hospital setting. This review focuses on guideline-directed medical therapy in the setting of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. Advanced heart failure therapies (cardiac transplantation, mechanical circulatory support), including treatment of patients with cardiogenic shock (use of inotropes), are beyond the scope of this review and are not addressed.

## CRITERIA FOR INPATIENT HEART FAILURE THERAPY

Identification of patients who require hospitalization is of the utmost importance to relieve symptoms and optimize guideline-directed medical therapy (Table 1). Patients who

have progression of heart failure symptoms despite intensification and optimization of outpatient therapies should be considered for admission.<sup>3</sup> The presence of declining renal function at the time of admission is a marker of high mortality in this population, with blood urea nitrogen  $\geq 43$  mg/dL as the single strongest predictor. Renal failure results in neurohormonal activation and increased congestion that increase adverse outcomes in patients with heart failure.<sup>4</sup>

Several well-validated heart failure risk scores can be used to predict mortality, including the Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE) model, the Candesartan in Heart Failure: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity (CHARM) risk score, the Heart Failure Survival Score, and the Seattle Heart Failure Model. The Seattle Heart Failure Model is available as an online application (<http://seattleheartfailuremodel.org>).

## INITIAL EVALUATION

During admission, the clinician should evaluate and treat possible precipitating factors (Table 2), evaluate and update current guideline-directed medical therapy, and perform risk stratification for all patients.

**Table 1. Criteria for Inpatient Heart Failure Therapy<sup>3</sup>**

<b>Recommend Hospitalization</b>	<b>Consider Hospitalization</b>
Hypotension	Evidence of worsening congestion Increased liver function tests suggesting hepatic congestion Weight gain
Declining renal function	Electrolyte disturbances
Change in mental status	Comorbid conditions that can worsen heart failure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pneumonia</li> <li>• Pulmonary embolism</li> <li>• Diabetes</li> <li>• Stroke or transient ischemic attack</li> </ul>
Dyspnea at rest	Implantable cardiac defibrillator discharges
Arrhythmia	Newly diagnosed heart failure with signs and symptoms of congestion
Atrial fibrillation	
Ventricular tachycardia	
Acute coronary syndromes	

Patients admitted with previously undiagnosed heart failure should undergo a thorough evaluation for the etiology of heart failure, including ischemic evaluation, electrocardiogram, echocardiogram, cardiac biomarkers, and a thorough review of medical history. Familial syndromes may be present in up to 35% of patients with newly diagnosed idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. The precipitating event that results in admission for acute decompensated heart failure can often be elucidated from a thorough review of the patient's lifestyle. Patients and caregivers are often aware of the dietary restrictions but are unclear on the sodium content of many foods. Are patients eating out more often? Are they eating prepared meals labeled as healthy choices that are high in sodium? Many times, patients are unwilling to adhere to the required sodium and fluid restrictions. As patients age, they often become forgetful, and medication doses can be missed or delayed.

### **INPATIENT PHARMACOLOGIC THERAPIES**

Initial therapies during admission for acute decompensated heart failure should be directed at decongestion and symptom improvement.

#### **Diuretics, Vasodilators, and Ultrafiltration**

Loop diuretics remain the most commonly used agents among patients admitted for acute decompensated heart failure. These drugs (furosemide, bumetanide, and ethacrynic acid) inhibit the reabsorption of sodium, potassium, and chloride in the ascending loop of Henle. The diuretic effect of these drugs depends on two factors. First, higher doses of loop diuretics may be needed in the setting of severe renal insufficiency or low cardiac output to ensure delivery of sufficient concentrations of the drug to its site of action.<sup>5</sup> Second, the efficacy of loop diuretics also depends on gastrointestinal absorption that can decrease because of bowel wall edema caused by splanchnic congestion in the setting of acute decompensated heart failure. Decreased gastrointestinal absorption and/or insufficient delivery of drug concentrations to the site of action can lead to diuretic resistance. Therefore, appropriate diuretic dosing is vital in

maintaining normal volume status among individuals with heart failure.

In the setting of diuretic resistance, increasing the loop diuretic dose will compensate for the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic changes that occur in heart failure and may be an effective strategy.<sup>6</sup> Intravenous (IV) loop diuretics at a dose higher than the outpatient dose or oral loop diuretics with a higher oral bioavailability than furosemide may be used.<sup>7</sup> Current evidence demonstrates no significant difference in patient symptoms or changes in renal function when loop diuretics are administered as a bolus compared to continuous infusion or at a high dose compared to a low dose.<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, no difference appears to exist in the safety and efficacy of bolus injection compared to continuous infusion of loop diuretics.<sup>9</sup>

Despite the lack of evidence to support the use of one loop diuretic over another, IV furosemide remains the most commonly used diuretic in the inpatient setting. Nevertheless, physicians should be familiar with differences in dosing

**Table 2. Common Precipitating Factors for Heart Failure Hospital Admission**

Nonadherence to guideline-directed medical therapy, diet, and fluid and/or sodium restriction
Accelerated or uncontrolled hypertension
Arrhythmia (most commonly atrial fibrillation)
Acute myocardial infarction
Concurrent infection (pneumonia, viral illness)
Medications – addition of negative inotropes (calcium channel and beta blockers)
Medications that increase sodium retention (steroids, nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, thiazolidinediones)
Pulmonary embolism
Excessive alcohol or illicit drug use
Endocrinologic comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism, hyperthyroidism)
Acute cardiovascular disease (valvular disease, aortic dissection, myopericarditis, endocarditis)

**Table 3. Pharmacokinetics of Loop Diuretics**

	<b>Furosemide</b>	<b>Bumetanide</b>	<b>Ethacrynic Acid</b>
Equivalent dosing, mg	20	1	50
Onset of action, <sup>a</sup> min	5	2-3	5
Time to peak effect, min	30	15-30	15-30
Metabolism	50% renal conjugation	50% hepatic	100% hepatic
Dosing frequency	Daily to every 8 hours	Daily to every 12 hours	Daily to every 8 hours

<sup>a</sup>Intravenous route.

between loop diuretics to prevent potential dosing errors (Table 3).

After initiation of IV diuresis, careful hemodynamic monitoring and frequent clinical assessment are required. Although bed rest is recommended during the acute phase of hospitalization to improve diuresis, early ambulation with physical therapy should begin within the first 24 hours of admission. Routine use of Foley catheters is not necessary, but if accurate assessment of urine output is limited because of incontinence or other patient factors, a Foley catheter may be considered. Assessment of volume status should occur at least once per day, including evaluation of peripheral edema (lower extremities, upper extremities, and sacrum), jugular venous pressure, ascites, rales, hepatomegaly, and daily weights. Reevaluation of symptoms of congestion aids in guiding continued management and should focus on the symptoms that prompted hospital admission: dyspnea, orthopnea, nocturnal cough, altered mental status, and fatigue. Close monitoring of vital signs for evidence of diuretic side effects should focus on hypotension, orthostasis, and urine output. While IV diuresis is pursued, careful monitoring of renal function and electrolytes is required to ensure adequate electrolyte replacement and to adjust diuretic dosing as necessary. If large volume diuresis occurs, more frequent laboratory evaluation of renal function and electrolytes may be required.

American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) and Heart Failure Society of America guidelines recommend considering the addition of an IV vasodilator (nitroglycerin/nitroprusside or nesiritide) [Class IIb, Level B] for patients who fail to respond adequately to IV diuresis.<sup>3</sup>

Available data regarding the risks and benefits of nesiritide therapy for acute decompensated heart failure are controversial. Early studies demonstrated improvement in symptoms, acute hemodynamics, weight loss, urine output, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system activation, and decreased diuretic use without significant neurohormonal stimulation compared to placebo.<sup>10,11</sup> However, these studies found a greater risk of arrhythmia and hypotension. The Vasodilatation in the Management of Acute Congestive Heart Failure (VMAC) study showed that nesiritide provided more rapid hemodynamic improvements and was better tolerated than IV nitroglycerin but found no difference in patient-reported dyspnea scores between the 2 vasodilators.<sup>12</sup> In 2005, a large but controversial metaanalysis reported increased mortality associated with nesiritide use compared to placebo, but methodological concerns called the results of the study into question and raised the call for large randomized clinical trials.<sup>13</sup> Therefore, based on the current available data, routine use of nesiritide for acute decompensated heart

failure cannot be recommended. In select patients with adequate blood pressure, intolerance to nitroglycerin or nitroprusside, and significant congestion unresponsive to IV diuresis alone, nesiritide could be considered to improve congestion and acutely reduce symptoms in the early admission period.

In patients with signs of significant volume overload or patients who fail to respond to medical therapy, ultrafiltration may be considered (Class IIb indication).<sup>14</sup> However, based on the results of the recently published Cardiorenal Rescue Study in Acute Decompensated Heart Failure (CARRESS-HF) trial, routine use of ultrafiltration for the treatment of acute decompensated heart failure cannot be recommended.<sup>15</sup>

### Conventional Guideline-Directed Medical Therapy

During admission, all guideline-directed medical therapy should be evaluated and adjusted based on patient presentation. If hypotension is present, holding beta blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) or angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) may be beneficial to allow for adequate diuresis and ensure target organ perfusion. However, in the absence of contraindications, guideline-directed medical therapy (beta blockers, ACEIs, aldosterone antagonists) should not be routinely held during admission. Conventional therapies, including beta blockers and ACEIs, have demonstrated morbidity and mortality benefits in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction and are the mainstay of heart failure treatment strategies. ACEI therapy should begin as early as possible during the index hospitalization. In the absence of significant renal dysfunction or hypotension, ACEIs should be initiated at low doses with gradual titration to achieve doses equivalent to those in randomized clinical trials.<sup>16-18</sup>

### Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors

Current data support a class effect with ACEIs, but preference should be given to longer-acting ACEIs to reduce the number of pills and ensure consistent neurohormonal blockade. Some caution should be used when considering initiation of ACEIs in patients with hyponatremia because of concerns for hypotension. ACEIs have been shown to have a mortality benefit in early and long-term therapy. ARBs have been shown to be well tolerated and reduce cardiovascular risk in patients who are unable to tolerate ACEIs (cough, hypotension, and renal dysfunction).<sup>4,14</sup>

### Beta Blockers

Beta blocker therapy has been shown to reduce morbidity and mortality in all patients with heart failure with reduced

ejection fraction, including those already on ACEIs.<sup>19</sup> Unlike ACEIs, no evidence links beta blockers to a class effect. Only 3 agents in randomized clinical trials have been shown to have favorable effects on survival and disease progression: carvedilol,<sup>19,20</sup> sustained-release metoprolol (succinate),<sup>21</sup> and bisoprolol.<sup>22</sup> Because no direct head-to-head comparison of beta blockers and ACEIs in heart failure exists to date, one agent cannot be recommended over another.<sup>14</sup> As with ACEIs, doses should start low and be titrated to achieve doses similar to those in randomized clinical trials.<sup>14</sup> Beta blockers can be started early in the absence of contraindications such as hypotension or symptomatic bradycardia. Caution should be used when administering beta blockers to patients who have required inotropic therapy during their index hospitalization and to patients with newly diagnosed heart failure.

### Mineralocorticoid Receptor Antagonists

For patients with New York Heart Association (NYHA) Class II-IV heart failure symptoms who are already on guideline-directed medical therapy (beta blockers, ACEIs, and diuretics), the addition of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs) has been shown to improve survival rates and reduce heart failure–related hospitalizations. ACC/AHA guidelines recommend MRA therapy (spironolactone, eplerenone) in patients with ejection fraction  $\leq 35\%$  in the absence of contraindications.<sup>14</sup> Aldosterone blockade should also be considered in symptomatic patients with left ventricular ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$  after recent myocardial infarction.<sup>23,24</sup>

Caution must be used when administering aldosterone antagonists. They should only be administered to patients with a glomerular filtration rate  $>30$  mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> and serum potassium  $<5.0$  mEq/L, and they should not be used in conjunction with other potassium-sparing diuretics. Careful monitoring of renal function, serum potassium, and volume status is necessary after initiation to avoid adverse events from hyperkalemia and renal failure. Spironolactone (a nonselective aldosterone antagonist) carries the risk of painful gynecomastia in male patients who may require switching to the more expensive agent eplerenone (a selective antagonist).<sup>14,23,24</sup>

The addition of isosorbide dinitrate/hydralazine to the treatment regimen for African Americans with NYHA Class II-IV heart failure already on optimal medical therapy (including ACEIs/ARBs) is recommended to reduce morbidity and mortality.<sup>14</sup> Isosorbide dinitrate/hydralazine is also recommended [ACC/AHA Class IIa] for African Americans with Stage C heart failure (current or past symptoms) and reduced ejection fraction who are intolerant of ACEI/ARB therapy.<sup>14</sup> In clinical trials, ACEIs/ARBs have been superior in terms of mortality.<sup>25,26</sup> Therefore, the combination of isosorbide dinitrate/hydralazine should not be used as first-line neurohormonal therapy for patients who have not been trialed on ACEIs/ARBs or aldosterone antagonists.<sup>14</sup> Isosorbide dinitrate/hydralazine should be initiated at low doses (37.5/20 mg, 1 tablet 3 times daily) and titrated to a goal of 2 tablets 3 times daily as tolerated. The high incidence of side effects (headache, gastrointestinal disturbance, and dizziness) has limited adherence to this therapy.

In patients with severe hypervolemic hyponatremia who have or are at risk of active cognitive deficits, arginine vasopressin (AVP) antagonists (conivaptan and tolvaptan) may be considered to aid in the correction of serum sodium and may improve diuresis.<sup>14,27-29</sup> Prior to initiating acute therapy with AVP antagonists, all other possible causes of hyponatremia (syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion, hypothyroidism, hypoaldosteronism) should be ruled out. Although AVP therapy has been shown to improve serum sodium, no mortality benefit has been shown in patients with heart failure.<sup>14</sup> During AVP antagonist administration, liberalization of sodium restriction, frequent assessment of serum sodium, and discontinuation of therapy once serum sodium is  $>135$  mg/dL are important. Long-term use of AVP antagonists is not recommended.<sup>14</sup>

### Digoxin

Digoxin and diuretic therapy were once the foundation of heart failure therapy. However, the development of newer, more effective therapies and recent studies evaluating the efficacy of digoxin in the setting of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction have caused the drug to fall out of favor. Digoxin has not been shown to provide any mortality benefit when used in the setting of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction,<sup>30</sup> but digoxin therapy has been shown to decrease hospitalizations for cardiovascular causes—primarily because of a decrease in heart failure hospitalizations.<sup>30</sup> This decrease is most likely because of symptomatic benefits in the setting of heart failure. Important results from the Prospective Randomized study Of Ventricular failure and the Efficacy of Digoxin (PROVED) trial<sup>31</sup> suggest that patients withdrawn from digoxin therapy demonstrated worsening maximal exercise capacity compared to those who were continued on digoxin.<sup>30</sup> Furthermore, in the Randomized Assessment of Digoxin on Inhibitors of the ANgiotensin Converting Enzyme (RADIANCE) study,<sup>32</sup> patients who were switched from digoxin to placebo experienced worsening heart failure, decreased functional capacity, lower quality-of-life scores, decreased ejection fractions, increases in heart rate, and higher body weights compared to patients who continued to receive digoxin therapy.<sup>32</sup> The RADIANCE study suggests that withdrawal of digoxin in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction may result in undesired clinical consequences.

Finally, the narrow therapeutic index of digoxin increases the risk of toxicity and adverse effects. Digoxin is mainly excreted by the kidneys, and as a result, impaired renal function can lead to higher plasma concentrations.<sup>33-35</sup> Chronic heart failure and advanced age can also reduce the volume of distribution of the drug and increase the risk of toxicity. Other causes that can precipitate digoxin toxicity include hypokalemia, hypomagnesemia, hypocalcemia, medication interaction, and hypothyroidism. Current guidelines recommend administering digoxin to patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction to decrease heart failure hospitalizations.<sup>14</sup>

### Thromboembolism Prophylaxis

Heart failure is a recognized risk factor for thromboembolic events. Venous stasis, hypercoagulability, reduced cardiac output, and decreased ambulation contribute to the



**Table 4. Discharge Checklist**

---

✓ **Discharge Planning Goals**

---

- 1. Guideline-directed medical therapy has been reviewed and patient has been stable for 24 hours.
- 2. Potential exacerbating/confounding comorbidities have been addressed.
- 3. Exercise tolerance has returned to New York Heart Association Class II.
- 4. Volume status has been optimized.
- 5. Education has been provided.
- 6. Clinic follow-up has been scheduled.

---

increased risk of thrombosis. When patients are admitted for acute decompensated heart failure, they are often placed on bed rest or confined to a reclining chair, and such patients should receive venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in the absence of contraindications.<sup>14</sup>

**MANAGING COMPLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH ACUTE DECOMPENSATED HEART FAILURE**

As medical therapy for heart failure has progressed, the list of drugs that improve symptoms and reduce mortality has grown. In patients with Stage C heart failure, the list of medications can become daunting, numbering 10 or more (beta blockers, ACEIs, diuretics, isosorbide dinitrate/hydralazine, MRAs, anticoagulants, digoxin), sometimes dosed multiple times per day. The list does not include medications for other comorbid conditions (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes mellitus, arthritis). Polypharmacy reduces adherence to medical regimens, increases the risk of drug interactions, and increases the risk of side effects. Hypotension is a common problem faced by clinicians. Multiple antihypertensives, sodium and fluid restriction, autonomic dysfunction, and advancing age predispose patients to orthostatic hypotension and the risk of falls. Declining renal function can also complicate management because many medications worsen renal failure or increase the risk of medication toxicity. In the setting of acute renal failure during hospitalization, diuretics should be reduced or discontinued if renal function continues to decline; reducing or holding the ACEI dose may be necessary. Cardiorenal syndrome, often defined as renal impairment as a result of cardiac dysfunction, can complicate the direction of therapy. The term cardiorenal syndrome has been used to describe acute renal failure in the setting of acute decompensated heart failure and chronic renal failure because of low cardiac output or because of other comorbidities.

**DISCHARGE PLANNING**

Once the patient’s heart failure has been stabilized and medical therapy has achieved appropriate resolution of symptoms, planning for discharge should begin. Discharge planning requires thorough review of the patient’s chart including laboratory results, guideline-directed medical therapy, education, and exercise tolerance. A multidisciplinary team including social workers, heart failure nurses, pharmacists, and physicians should coordinate discharge efforts. Education must include the patient,

**Table 5. Discharge Performance Measures**

---

1. Left ventricular function was assessed during hospitalization or within the past 6 months.
2. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker therapy was prescribed for patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.
3. Beta blocker therapy was prescribed for patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.
4. Postdischarge appointments have been scheduled.

---

caregivers, and other family members and should focus on lifestyle changes including sodium restriction, fluid restriction, monitoring of daily weights, and a graded exercise program or referral to a cardiac rehabilitation program. Patients and caregivers should be educated on signs and symptoms of heart failure exacerbation and what to do if symptoms worsen.

On the day of discharge, providers should ensure that patients have met goals and complete an appropriate checklist (Table 4).

**DISCHARGE PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

The ACC recently updated inpatient and outpatient performance measures.<sup>14</sup> The previous measure of comprehensive discharge instructions was retired after analysis suggested that it promoted compliance to core measures often without regard to the quality of care provided. The measures are reported, and local compliance is compared to national benchmarks set by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. The performance measures reported at discharge are summarized in Table 5.

**CONCLUSION**

Inpatient therapy for patients with acute decompensated heart failure should be directed at decongestion and symptom improvement. Clinicians should also treat possible precipitating events, identify comorbid conditions that may exacerbate heart failure, evaluate and update current guideline-directed medical therapy, and perform risk stratification for all patients. Finally, effort should be made to educate patients about the importance of restricting sodium and fluid, monitoring daily weights, and adhering to a graded exercise program. Early discharge follow-up and continued optimization of guideline-directed medical therapy are key to preventing future heart failure readmissions.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

*The authors have no financial or proprietary interest in the subject matter of this article.*

**REFERENCES**

1. Kociol RD, Hammill BG, Fonarow GC, et al. Generalizability and longitudinal outcomes of a national heart failure clinical registry: comparison of Acute Decompensated Heart Failure National Registry (ADHERE) and non-ADHERE Medicare beneficiaries. *Am Heart J.* 2010 Nov;160(5):885-892.
2. Giamouzis G, Kalogeropoulos A, Georgiopoulos V, et al. Hospitalization epidemic in patients with heart failure: risk factors, risk prediction, knowledge gaps, and future directions. *J Card Fail.* 2011 Jan;17(1):54-75.

3. Heart Failure Society of America, Lindenfeld J, Albert NM, et al. HFSA 2010 Comprehensive Heart Failure Practice Guideline. *J Card Fail*. 2010 Jun;16(6):e1-e194.
4. Bongartz LG, Cramer MJ, Braam B. The cardiorenal connection. *Hypertension*. 2004 Apr;43(4):e14.
5. Risler T, Schwab A, Kramer B, Braun N, Erley C. Comparative pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of loop diuretics in renal failure. *Cardiology*. 1994;84(suppl 2):155-161.
6. De Bruyne LK. Mechanisms and management of diuretic resistance in congestive heart failure. *Postgrad Med J*. 2003 May;79(931):268-271.
7. Brater DC, Day B, Burdette A, Anderson S. Bumetanide and furosemide in heart failure. *Kidney Int*. 1984 Aug;26(2):183-189.
8. Felker GM, Lee KL, Bull DA, et al. Diuretic strategies in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2011 Mar 3;364(9):797-805.
9. Wu MY, Chang NC, Su CL, et al. Loop diuretic strategies in patients with acute decompensated heart failure: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Crit Care*. 2014 Feb; 29(1):2-9.
10. Colucci WS, Elkayam U, Horton DP, et al. Intravenous nesiritide, a natriuretic peptide, in the treatment of decompensated congestive heart failure. Nesiritide Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 2000 Jul 27;343(4):246-253.
11. O'Connor CM, Starling RC, Hernandez AF, et al. Effect of nesiritide in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2011 Jul 7;365(1):32-43. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1100171. Erratum in: *N Engl J Med*. 2011 Aug 25;365(8):773.
12. Publication Committee for the VMAC Investigators (Vasodilatation in the Management of Acute CHF). Intravenous nesiritide vs nitroglycerine for treatment of decompensated congestive heart failure: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2002 Mar 27;287(12):1531-1540. Erratum in: *JAMA*. 2002 Aug 7; 288(5):577.
13. Sackner-Bernstein JD, Kowalski M, Fox M, Aaronson K. Short-term risk of death after treatment with nesiritide for decompensated heart failure: a pooled analysis of randomized controlled trials. *JAMA*. 2005 Apr 20;293(15): 1900-1905.
14. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *Circulation*. 2013 Oct 15;128(16):1810-1852.
15. Bart BA, Goldsmith SR, Lee KL, et al. Ultrafiltration in decompensated heart failure with cardiorenal syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2012 Dec 13;367(24):2296-2304.
16. CONSENSUS Trial Study Group. Effects of enalapril on mortality in severe congestive heart failure: results of the Cooperative North Scandinavian Enalapril Survival Study (CONSENSUS). *N Engl J Med*. 1987 Jun 4;316(23):1429-1435.
17. SOLVD Investigators. Effect of enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med*. 1991 Aug 1;325(5):293-302.
18. Garg R, Yusuf S. Overview of randomized trials of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality and morbidity in patients with heart failure. Collaborative Group on ACE Inhibitor Trials. *JAMA*. 1995 May 10;273(18):1450-1456. Erratum in: *JAMA*. 1995 Aug 9;274(6):462.
19. Packer M, Coats AJ, Fowler MB, et al. Effect of carvedilol on survival in severe chronic heart failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2001 May 31;344(22):1651-1658.
20. Dargie HJ. Effect of carvedilol on outcome after myocardial infarction in patients with left-ventricular dysfunction: the CAPRICORN randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2001 May 5;357(9266): 1385-1390.
21. Effect of metoprolol CR/XL in chronic heart failure: Metoprolol Randomised Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure (MERIT-HF). *Lancet*. 1999 Jun 12;353(9169):2001-2007.
22. The Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study II (CIBIS-II): a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 1999 Jan 2;353(9146):9-13.
23. Pitt B, Zannad F, Remme WJ, et al. The effect of spironolactone on morbidity and mortality in patients with severe heart failure. Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study Investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 1999 Sep 2;341(10):709-717.
24. Zannad F, McMurray JJ, Krum H, et al. Eplerenone in patients with systolic heart failure and mild symptoms. *N Engl J Med*. 2011 Jan 6;364(1):11-21.
25. Cohn JN, Johnson G, Ziesche S, et al. A comparison of enalapril with hydralazine-isosorbide dinitrate in the treatment of chronic congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med*. 1991 Aug 1; 325(5):303-310.
26. Fonarow GC, Chelimsky-Fallick C, Stevenson LW, et al. Effect of direct vasodilation with hydralazine versus angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition with captopril on mortality in advanced heart failure: the Hy-C trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1992 Mar 15;19(4):842-850.
27. Gheorghide M, Niazi I, Ouyang J, et al. Vasopressin V2-receptor blockade with tolvaptan in patients with chronic heart failure results from a double-blind, randomized trial. *Circulation*. 2003 Jun 3;107(21):2690-2696.
28. Schrier RW, Gross P, Gheorghide M, et al. Tolvaptan, a selective oral vasopressin V2-receptor antagonist, for hyponatremia. *N Engl J Med*. 2006 Nov 16;355(20):2099-2112.
29. Konstam MA, Gheorghide M, Burnett JC Jr, et al. Effects of oral tolvaptan in patients hospitalized for worsening heart failure: the EVEREST Outcome Trial. *JAMA*. 2007 Mar 28;297(12): 1319-1331.
30. Digitalis Investigation Group. The effect of digoxin on mortality and morbidity in patients with heart failure. *N Engl J Med*. 1997 Feb 20;336(8):525-533.
31. Uretsky BF, Young JB, Shahidi FE, Yellen LG, Harrison MC, Jolly MK. Randomized study assessing the effect of digoxin withdrawal in patients with mild to moderate chronic congestive heart failure: results of the PROVED trial. PROVED Investigative Group. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1993 Oct;22(4):955-962.
32. Packer M, Gheorghide M, Young JB, et al. Withdrawal of digoxin from patients with chronic heart failure treated with angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors. RADIANCE Study. *N Engl J Med*. 1993 Jul 1;329(1):1-7.
33. Yang EH, Shah S, Criley JM. Digitalis toxicity: a fading but crucial complication to recognize. *Am J Med*. 2012 Apr;125(4): 337-343.
34. Lip GY, Metcalfe MJ, Dunn FG. Diagnosis and treatment of digoxin toxicity. *Postgrad Med J*. 1993 May;69(811):337-339.
35. Currie GM, Wheat JM, Kiat H. Pharmacokinetic considerations for digoxin in older people. *Open Cardiovasc Med J*. 2011;5: 130-135.

*This article meets the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education and the American Board of Medical Specialties Maintenance of Certification competencies for Patient Care, Medical Knowledge, and Practice-Based Learning and Improvement.*